

O-GlcNAcase: Promiscuous Hexosaminidase or Key Regulator of O-GlcNAc Signaling?

Published, JBC Papers in Press, October 21, 2014, DOI 10.1074/jbc.R114.609198

Jana Alonso[‡], Marianne Schimpl[‡], and Daan M. F. van Aalten^{‡§1}

From the [‡]Medical Research Council Protein Phosphorylation and Ubiquitylation Unit and [§]Division of Molecular Microbiology, College of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, DD1 5EH Dundee, Scotland, United Kingdom

O-GlcNAc signaling is regulated by an opposing pair of enzymes: O-GlcNAc transferase installs and O-GlcNAcase (OGA) removes the modification from proteins. The dynamics and regulation of this process are only beginning to be understood as the physiological functions of both enzymes are being probed using genetic and pharmacological approaches. This minireview charts the discovery and functional and structural analysis of OGA and summarizes the insights gained from recent studies using OGA inhibition, gene knock-out, and over-expression. We identify several areas of “known unknowns” that would benefit from future research, such as the enigmatic C-terminal domain of OGA.

O-Linked *N*-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) is a post-translational modification of serine and threonine residues on nuclear, cytoplasmic, and mitochondrial proteins. Since its discovery in the early 1980s (1), O-GlcNAc has been shown to be involved in the regulation of fundamental cellular processes in response to nutritional and hormonal cues (2, 3). O-GlcNAcylation has been implicated in gene regulation (4), development (5), signal transduction (6, 7), the cell cycle (8), and proteasomal degradation (9, 10) and shows a degree of interplay with regulatory protein phosphorylation (11). Dysregulation of O-GlcNAc levels is associated with a range of human diseases, such as cancer (12), diabetes (13), and neurodegeneration (14).

Protein O-GlcNAcylation is a dynamic and reversible process carried out by two single enzymes: O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT)² and O-GlcNAcase (OGA). Here, we review the literature on OGA, ranging from its discovery 2 decades ago to struc-

tural analysis and genetic/pharmacological approaches toward probing its function, revealing several gaps in our knowledge of this key enzyme.

Discovery and Initial Characterization

The O-GlcNAc hydrolase OGA was first purified from rat spleen by Dong and Hart in 1994 (15), a decade after the discovery of the reversible modification of proteins with O-GlcNAc. A 1998 study identified it as an antigen expressed by meningiomas and named the gene *MGEA5* (16). The sera of meningioma patients showed immunoreactivity against two apparent alternative splicing isoforms, and initial sequence analyses and biochemical assays suggested hyaluronidase activity (16). However, in 2001, Gao *et al.* (17) performed a thorough biochemical characterization of the recombinantly produced human enzyme, establishing the neutral pH optimum and selectivity for GlcNAc over GalNAc that distinguishes OGA from the lysosomal hexosaminidases HexA and HexB. The existence of an additional hexosaminidase activity (HexC) in mammals had been known since the 1970s (18–20) and was attributed to OGA. Consistent with their subcellular localization, the lysosomal hexosaminidases have an acidic pH optimum, and they cleave terminal O-linked β -D-*N*-acetylhexosamine residues irrespective of the C4 configuration (GlcNAc and GalNAc). Their biological role is the degradation of glycans on proteins and lipids, and their dysfunction is associated with lysosomal storage disorders, particularly Tay-Sachs and Sandhoff diseases (21). Despite their distinct physiological functions, the existence of these mechanistically related enzymes pertains to O-GlcNAc research, as many frequently used small molecule inhibitors of OGA (most notably PUGNAc) possess little specificity and simultaneously inhibit the lysosomal hexosaminidases. Sequence similarities reveal that OGA belongs to glycoside hydrolase family 84 (GH84) in the CAZy Database (22). A single gene encodes OGA, giving rise to two main isoforms in vertebrates (23) and a single isoform in lower eukaryotes, *e.g.* *Drosophila* (24) or *Caenorhabditis* (25). The shorter isoform lacks the C-terminal domain but retains some OGA activity (26) and is reported to be localized in the nucleus (27) and in lipid droplets (28). Full-length OGA is a predominantly cytoplasmic and nuclear enzyme (29). In addition to the N-terminal GH84 domain, OGA was observed to possess another domain with potential catalytic activity that belongs to the family of GCN5-related acetyltransferases (Fig. 1) (30). One laboratory has reported that the OGA C terminus possesses histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity in semipure fractions (27, 31). OGA and HAT activities were speculated to act synergistically, opening up the chromatin structure (acetylation) and activating transcription factors through removal of O-GlcNAc (27). However, later studies (32–34) failed to reproduce these observations. Although OGA is post-translationally modified (as reviewed in Ref. 35), the function of these modifications is largely unknown. Similarly, although O-GlcNAc levels and OGA/OGT transcription are tightly linked, the mechanisms underlying this are unexplored.

* This is the second article in the Minireview Series on the Thirtieth Anniversary of Research on O-GlcNAcylation of Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Proteins: Nutrient Regulation of Cellular Metabolism and Physiology by O-GlcNAcylation.

✂ Author's Choice—Final version full access.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: dmfvanaalten@dundee.ac.uk.

² The abbreviations used are: OGT, O-GlcNAc transferase; OGA, O-GlcNAcase; hOGA, human OGA; CpOGA, *C. perfringens* OGA; BtOGA, *B. theta* OGA; OgOGA, *O. granulosis* OGA; GH84, glycoside hydrolase family 84; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; OgAT, *O. granulosis* acetyltransferase; PUGNAc, O-(2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucopyranosylidene)amino *N*-phenylcarbamate; SsAT, *S. sviveus* acetyltransferase; NAG-thiazoline, 1,2-dideoxy-2'-methyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl[2,1-d]- Δ 2'-thiazoline.




FIGURE 1. Schematic of the domain structure of hOGA and apparent bacterial orthologs. The OGA activity resides in the GH domain (blue). The position of the double-aspartate catalytic motif is indicated by the red line. The C-terminal domain harbors the putative HAT domain, which is connected via a helical bundle referred to as the stalk domain. Flexible/disordered regions are gray: the N-terminal 60 amino acids and the 150-amino acid insertion in the stalk domain. *CpOGA*, *BtOGA*, and *OgOGA* have been used to gain insights into the three-dimensional structure of the catalytic domain, whereas putative *OgAT* and *SsAT* serve as models for the HAT domain. *CBM*, carbohydrate-binding module; *UNK*, unknown module; *FN3*, fibronectin-3 homology module.

O-GlcNAc and OGA have also been described in prokaryotes, and GH84 enzymes are encoded in the genomes of numerous bacterial species. (At the time of writing, there were 185 members of the bacterial branch of GH84 enzymes in the CAZy Database.) Many of these enzymes contain additional domains or secretion signals, leaving their physiological functions somewhat in doubt and wholly unstudied. *In vitro*, however, several bacterial OGAs have been shown to be highly effective in removing *O*-GlcNAc from eukaryotic *O*-GlcNAc proteins and have served as models to study the enzymology of eukaryotic OGAs (36, 37). Intriguingly, a plant ortholog of OGA has not yet been found, and it is not clear whether *O*-GlcNAc in plants is not a dynamic modification or whether convergent evolution has provided an unrelated enzyme that functions analogously to OGA (38).

Functional Insights from the *O*-GlcNAcase Structure

Human OGA (hOGA) is a 103-kDa enzyme comprising an N-terminal GH84 catalytic domain and a C-terminal HAT-like domain connected by a 300-amino acid region termed the stalk domain (Fig. 1). Although hOGA can be expressed and purified for biochemical studies (29), there is currently no crystal structure for a eukaryotic OGA; however, valuable insights have been obtained from structures of apparent bacterial orthologs (Figs. 1 and 2). The OGA catalytic domain is structurally and mechanistically related to chitinases, *N*-acetylhexosaminidases, and hyaluronidases in the GH18, GH20, and GH56 families (39). These enzymes perform glycoside hydrolysis with net retention of the anomeric configuration via a double-displacement reaction with the carbonyl oxygen of the *N*-acetyl group acting as a nucleophile, a mechanism described as substrate-assisted catalysis or neighboring group participation (40, 41). The active site for the OGA activity of OGA is characterized by a conserved pair of essential aspartic acid residues, Asp-174 and Asp-175, in the human enzyme (41), which constitute the catalytic DD motif. The first insights into the structure of the OGA catalytic domain came from crystal structures of two bacterial OGA orthologs in the GH84 family that were reported in 2006, namely *Clostridium perfringens* NagJ (*CpOGA*) (36) and *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* hexosaminidase (*BtOGA*) (37) (Fig. 1). The catalytic domain consists of a $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ or TIM (triose-phosphate isomerase) barrel, with the active site located on the




FIGURE 2. Crystal structures of *CpOGA* and *OgAT* serve as models for the human enzyme. Structures are shown in ribbon representation, with colors as described for Fig. 1. GlcNAc in the active site of *CpOGA* and acetyl-CoA in the active site of *OgAT* are shown as sticks with black carbons. The internal surface of the tunnel-like structure in *OgAT* (gray surface) may form the binding site for an as yet unidentified ligand.

C-terminal face of the barrel, where the GlcNAc sugar occupies a deep pocket in the surface of the enzyme (Fig. 2). Both structures were determined in the presence of OGA inhibitors, shedding light on the active site architecture, which then facilitated the targeted generation of catalytically impaired hOGA through the introduction of point mutations. In addition to the D174A/D175A double mutant, which results in loss of catalytic activity, substitution of either aspartic acid with asparagine slows down the reaction and has been employed to trap Michaelis complexes in crystallographic studies (42). The mutation D285A leads to impaired substrate binding. Detailed knowledge of the active site architecture was also exploited for rational design of improved OGA inhibitors, as discussed below. Subsequent work by He *et al.* (42) made elegant use of various substrate analogs to give detailed information about the reaction coordinate of OGA in the form of crystallographic “snapshots.”

Several studies have attempted to address the substrate recognition mechanism of OGA, although it is not yet clear how OGA regulates *O*-GlcNAc levels on hundreds of diverse cellular proteins. Interpretation of the available information is further hampered by the fact that instead of *O*-GlcNAc proteins, most of these studies have relied on the use of glycopeptides or colorigenic/fluorogenic pseudosubstrates. One study observing OGA activity on three different *O*-GlcNAc proteins concluded

that the substrate recognition by hOGA occurs in a conserved binding groove and involves the interaction of the enzyme's surface with O-GlcNAc proteins (43). This is in apparent contrast to other work showing that β -methyl-GlcNAc, as the "minimal substrate," was processed more rapidly than a small panel of glycoproteins (44), which led the authors to conclude that any interactions of the protein or peptide with the enzyme are counterproductive. A molecular view of the binding of short O-GlcNAc peptides in the active site of a catalytically impaired CpOGA mutant, obtained through crystallographic studies, showed that interactions were limited to the sequence-independent stacking of the peptide backbone to a surface-exposed, conserved, aromatic residue in OGA (45). Conversely, a combination of molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulation suggests that although sequence-independent interactions with the peptide component do contribute, the affinity for OGA substrates varies as a function of the peptide sequence (46). It should be noted that peptide sequence and length may have entropic in addition to enthalpic effects on binding and that their precise conformations in the active site may affect not only binding but also turnover. It is conceivable that substrate recognition does not proceed along the same lines for the entire pool of OGA substrates.

Given that sequence conservation in the bacterial homologs CpOGA and BtOGA is limited to the immediate surroundings of the active site, these were initially thought to provide models for the glycoside hydrolase domain of OGA only. The structure of a third bacterial OGA from *Oceanicola granulosus* (OgOGA) was reported in 2010 (43). OgOGA shows moderate sequence conservation beyond the catalytic domain, which led to the understanding that the region connecting the N- and C-terminal domains of eukaryotic OGAs comprises a 200-amino acid helical bundle termed the stalk domain and a 150-amino acid unstructured region (Fig. 1). This region harbors Ser-405, the O-GlcNAc site on hOGA, which itself is a substrate for OGT (47), as well as residues 404–548, which have been reported to be required for interaction with OGT (48).

The C-terminal domain of OGA is believed to adopt a GCN5 acetyltransferase-like fold (30). Toleman *et al.* (27, 31) reported that semipure fractions of the OGA C terminus showed HAT activity, which has resulted in the domain being referred to as the "putative HAT domain" in the literature, although later studies failed to reproduce these observations. A recent study could not detect binding of acetyl-CoA to the isolated hOGA HAT domain recombinantly expressed in *Escherichia coli* (33). The crystal structure of a protein from the bacterium *O. granulosus* that shows significant sequence identity to the hOGA HAT domain reveals that this domain is likely to be a pseudo-HAT, as its catalytic core appears to lack the key amino acids involved in binding of acetyl-CoA and acetyl transfer onto an acceptor substrate (Fig. 2) (33, 34). Unlike the human protein, *O. granulosus* acetyltransferase (OgAT) (33) and a similar protein from *Streptomyces sviveus* (SsAT) (34) readily interact with acetyl-CoA, which is located in a deep substrate-binding pocket in the OgAT structure (Figs. 1 and 2). Perhaps most intriguing is the presence of a large tunnel in OgAT, conserved in the hOGA HAT domain (as assessed by sequence alignments), which is




FIGURE 3. The chemical structures of OGA inhibitors are shown in *a*. Thiamet-G is a representative of the thiazoline compounds, which are stable mimics of the reaction intermediate. GlcNAcstatin G is the most selective member of the GlcNAcstatin family of inhibitors. In both compounds, selectivity has been engineered by elongating the C2 substituent to exploit the depth of the substrate-binding pocket visible in *b*, which shows a cross-section of the active site. GlcNAc is shown in stick representation; the enzyme surface is shown in blue.

presumed to be the binding site for an as yet unidentified ligand/acceptor (Fig. 2).

O-GlcNAcase Inhibitors as Tools to Study O-GlcNAc Signaling

The elucidation of the OGA catalytic mechanism has enabled the development of potent and selective inhibitors that have subsequently been used to study O-GlcNAcylation in cells and organisms. The available inhibitors have been the subject of multiple recent reviews (35, 49–51). Ostrowski and van Aalten (51) focused on their use as probes to study O-GlcNAc signaling and covered significant problems with some of the early OGA inhibitors, PUGNAc and streptozotocin, which are unsuitable for cell biological studies due to their off-target effects (35). Here, we will give a brief overview of the two main classes of mechanism-inspired, potent, and selective OGA inhibitors. The catalytic mechanism of O-GlcNAc hydrolysis proceeds via an oxazoline reaction intermediate. Stable mimics of reaction intermediates can act as selective enzyme inhibitors, and consequently, thiazoline derivatives of GlcNAc have been explored as OGA inhibitors (Fig. 3). Modifications of the 1,2-dideoxy-2'-methyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl[2,1-d]- Δ 2'-thiazoline (NAG-thiazoline) acyl side chain (39, 52–54) led to improved selectivity over GH18, GH20, and GH56 enzymes that employ the same reaction mechanism. NAG-thiazolines are water-soluble and synthetically accessible OGA inhibitors with potencies in the nanomolar-to-micromolar range, with Thiamet-G being the most selective representative. This family of OGA inhibitors has been described to possess cardioprotective effects: NAG-thiazolines protect against ischemia/reperfusion injury, improving contractile function through preservation of the striated muscle structure (55), and Thiamet-G counteracts TNF- α -induced vascular dysfunction (56). Thiamet-G has also been proposed as a potential therapeutic for Alzheimer disease, as discussed in detail in an accompanying minireview (83). Alzheimer disease and other tauopathies are associated with phosphorylation-dependent oligomerization of tau protein, resulting in neurofibrillary tangles. O-GlcNAcylation has been suggested to negatively regulate tau phosphorylation in a site-specific manner (57, 58). Oral administration of Thiamet-G in mice causes an increase in tau S400 O-GlcNAcylation and a reduction in neurofibrillary tangles in the brainstem, hypothalamus, and cortex (59). Transgenic mice overexpressing a human tau mutant (JNPL3(P301L)) subjected to long-term

administration of Thiamet-G display an increase in motor neurons and body weight paired with a decreased neurogenic atrophy of skeletal muscle (60). Similarly, in a recent study in rTg(tauP301L)4510 mice, Thiamet-G administration caused a remarkable reduction in pathological 64-kDa tau in brain homogenates (61).

The GlcNAcstatin family of inhibitors also owes its design to the elucidation of the catalytic mechanism; these compounds are designed to mimic the transition state (Fig. 3). The most potent GH84 inhibitor designed to date is GlcNAcstatin B, with a K_i of 4.6 pM reported for the bacterial enzyme CpOGA and 0.4 nM for hOGA (62). Selectivity for OGA over the mechanistically related lysosomal hexosaminidases was achieved by extending the *N*-acyl substituent, capitalizing on the presence of a deep pocket in the enzyme's active site that permits OGA to recognize analogs of O-GlcNAc (Fig. 3) (63). GlcNAcstatins (the most selective compound being GlcNAcstatin G) are potent cell-permeable inhibitors of hOGA (62, 65) and have been reported to increase *in vivo* O-GlcNAc levels in several human cell lines. GlcNAcstatin G treatment of human embryonic kidney 293 cells overexpressing the IL-1 receptor contributed to the discovery of the role of O-GlcNAcylation in activating the TAK1 (TGF- β -activated kinase 1) cascade (66). O-GlcNAcylation of the TAK1 accessory protein TAB1, induced by IL-1 or osmotic stress, regulates TAK1 signaling, as O-GlcNAcylated Ser-395 on TAB1 is required for full TAK1 activation (66). The use of GlcNAcstatin C (62) to study differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells revealed that elevated O-GlcNAc levels lead to delayed embryonic stem differentiation (67).

Genetic Approaches toward Probing O-GlcNAcase Function

A range of mammalian cell lines have been used to study the possible roles of OGA (68). Contrary to earlier reports, enhanced OGA expression combined with insulin stimulation in 3T3-L1 cells has suggested that a decrease in cellular O-GlcNAc does not prevent the onset of insulin resistance (69), also corroborated with studies using selective OGA inhibitors (70, 71). OGA overexpression induces mitotic exit in several cell lines, accompanied by a delay in mitotic phosphorylation, an altered cyclin expression profile, and a disruption in nuclear organization (72). An involvement of OGA in neuronal development has also been reported in several cell biological studies. OGA overexpression in cultured primary chicken forebrain neurons led to an increase in axon branching (39). Furthermore, OGA transfection prompted neurons to enter neurodevelopmental stage III with an axon with more filopodia (73).

A recent study using orexin neurons (which have a role in circadian rhythm and feeding behavior) has suggested an intriguing link between epigenetics, OGA, and neural differentiation (74). This study showed that OGA and HATs (such as p300 and CBP (cAMP-responsive element-binding protein-binding protein)) are recruited to the transcription initiation site of *Hcrt*, correlating with increased expression of *Hcrt* and H3/H4 hyperacetylation.

A null allele of the gene *oga-1* in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*ok1207*) results in viable and fertile worms, along with an accu-

mulation of O-GlcNAcylated proteins. However, metabolic changes lead to alterations in the insulin-like signaling pathway that controls nutrient storage, life span, and dauer formation (25). Higher levels of O-GlcNAc were observed on nuclear pore proteins in *oga-1(ok1207)* animals, and Ser/Thr phosphorylation profiles appeared to be altered. The insulin pathway is linked to life span and stress response, and down-regulation of insulin signaling promotes entry into the dauer stage (75). Consistent with the previous work, Rahman *et al.* (76) discovered that *oga-1(ok1207)* increased the life span of worms by activation of DAF-16, a transcription factor downstream of insulin signaling that induces dauer formation. Finally, the *oga-1* mutant has been proposed as a tool to study neurodegenerative diseases (77, 78). Loss of function of OGA magnifies the severity of neurodegenerative proteotoxicity models in *C. elegans* (MAPT (microtubule-associated protein tau), β -amyloid peptide, and polyglutamine mutant driven to neurons and muscle) (77). The absence of OGA limits autophagosome formation, enhancing the proteotoxicity by the accumulation of toxic protein aggregates (78).

A P-element insertion OGA mutant conferring temperature resistance has been reported in *Drosophila* (79). Tissue-specific knockdown of *oga* in insulin-producing cells or fat bodies resulted in an increase in the production of *Drosophila* insulin-like peptides and an increase in carbohydrate levels in hemolymph, mirroring the insulin resistance phenotype in the *C. elegans oga* mutant (24).

Overexpression of hOGA in zebrafish embryos leads to shortened body axes and reduced brain size, with higher rates of cell death at early developmental stages (80). Epiboly, a key gastrulation movement that plays a significant role in zebrafish morphogenesis (81), is delayed, causing a severe disorganization of the microtubular and actin cytoskeleton (80), proposed to be mediated by O-GlcNAc modification of the transcription factor Pou5f1/Oct4 (80).

The first *oga* knock-out mouse model has been recently reported (82). The OGA-deficient mice were generated with a gene-trapped embryonic cell line by inserting the gene trap vector in the first intron of *oga* (64). *oga* disruption leads to neonatal lethality associated with a developmental delay, as well as an increase in O-GlcNAc levels in embryos (82). Homozygous *oga*^{-/-} embryos showed a reduction in size and weight, with no visible anatomical abnormalities. At the physiological level, studies of proliferation in *oga*^{-/-} mouse embryonic fibroblasts showed mitotic defects and binucleation, suggesting that cell cycle progression requires a precise control of O-GlcNAcylation (82). Mitotic regulators such as aurora kinase B, cyclin B₁, and Cdc2 are down-regulated in *oga*^{-/-} mouse embryonic fibroblasts (82). It is clear that the results obtained with genetic and pharmacological approaches are not always congruent; this suggests that there are other (noncatalytic) functions of the OGA protein and/or off-target effects of the currently available inhibitors.

Future Challenges

Although research in the past 2 decades has begun to uncover some of the roles of OGA, suggesting that it is not a promiscuous hexosaminidase but an equal partner of OGT in modulation of the O-GlcNAc proteome, many important ques-

tions remain unanswered. A single pair of opposing enzymes orchestrates all intracellular O-GlcNAc, and ~1000 proteins have been reported to be O-GlcNAc-modified to date. Given the site-specific nature of O-GlcNAc and the absence of a strict consensus sequence, the substrate recognition mechanism of the transferase performs a formidable selection procedure. Although it is not clear whether all O-GlcNAc proteins are equally subject to deglycosylation by OGA, inhibition of OGA in cells typically leads to a global increase in all detectable O-GlcNAc proteins, which is consistent with the interpretation that most O-GlcNAc sites on cellular proteins are dynamic and that OGA activity is essential for maintaining normal O-GlcNAc levels. Although several studies have addressed OGA substrate recognition, there is no clarity regarding the involvement of the protein part of the substrate. There are no crystal structures of eukaryotic OGAs, let alone complexes with full O-GlcNAc proteins, and these will need to be determined to reveal the principles of (differential) substrate recognition.

Although OGA possesses a HAT-like domain, its function is unclear. The OGA isoform that lacks the HAT domain retains some OGA activity, and current data suggest that the hOGA HAT-like domain is not capable of binding an acetyl donor and thus not capable of acetyl transfer. Structural work suggests, however, the presence of an enigmatic tunnel where, in active acetyltransferases, the acceptor substrate normally binds; the potential binding partner for this tunnel remains to be identified. Although there is circumstantial evidence for a role of O-GlcNAc and OGA in epigenetics, the possible role of the HAT-like domain will need to be explored.

Although several studies have recently attempted to define the role of OGA by genetic approaches, they have all relied on a total disruption of the gene: abrogating OGA activity but also removing the protein from any complexes of which it might be a component. With the advent of OGA structural data and detailed mechanistic insights, it is now possible to design mutants of the enzyme that are correctly folded yet lack (or are reduced in) catalytic activity. It will be imperative to exploit such mutants in animal models to dissect the catalytic and non-catalytic roles of OGA. We hope that these studies will lead to unification of pharmacological and genetic approaches toward the effects of reducing OGA activity in cell lines and disease models.

REFERENCES

- Torres, C. R., and Hart, G. W. (1984) Topography and polypeptide distribution of terminal *N*-acetylglucosamine residues on the surfaces of intact lymphocytes. Evidence for O-linked GlcNAc. *J. Biol. Chem.* **259**, 3308–3317
- Zachara, N. E., and Hart, G. W. (2004) O-GlcNAc a sensor of cellular state: the role of nucleocytoplasmic glycosylation in modulating cellular function in response to nutrition and stress. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1673**, 13–28
- Housley, M. P., Rodgers, J. T., Udeshi, N. D., Kelly, T. J., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F., Puigserver, P., and Hart, G. W. (2008) O-GlcNAc regulates FoxO activation in response to glucose. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 16283–16292
- Hanover, J. A., Krause, M. W., and Love, D. C. (2012) Bittersweet memories: linking metabolism to epigenetics through O-GlcNAcylation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **13**, 312–321
- Howerton, C. L., Morgan, C. P., Fischer, D. B., and Bale, T. L. (2013) O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) as a placental biomarker of maternal stress

- and reprogramming of CNS gene transcription in development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 5169–5174
- Ruan, H. B., Singh, J. P., Li, M. D., Wu, J., and Yang, X. (2013) Cracking the O-GlcNAc code in metabolism. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **24**, 301–309
 - Müller, R., Jenny, A., and Stanley, P. (2013) The EGF repeat-specific O-GlcNAc-transferase Eogt interacts with notch signaling and pyrimidine metabolism pathways in *Drosophila*. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e62835
 - Drougat, L., Olivier-Van Stichelen, S., Mortuaire, M., Foulquier, F., Lacoste, A. S., Michalski, J. C., Lefebvre, T., and Vercoutter-Edouart, A. S. (2012) Characterization of O-GlcNAc cycling and proteomic identification of differentially O-GlcNAcylated proteins during G₁/S transition. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1820**, 1839–1848
 - Guinez, C., Mir, A. M., Dehennaut, V., Cacan, R., Harduin-Lepers, A., Michalski, J. C., and Lefebvre, T. (2008) Protein ubiquitination is modulated by O-GlcNAc glycosylation. *FASEB J.* **22**, 2901–2911
 - Zachara, N. E., and Hart, G. W. (2004) O-GlcNAc modification: a nutritional sensor that modulates proteasome function. *Trends Cell Biol.* **14**, 218–221
 - Hart, G. W., Slawson, C., Ramirez-Correa, G., and Lagerlof, O. (2011) Cross talk between O-GlcNAcylation and phosphorylation: roles in signaling, transcription, and chronic disease. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80**, 825–858
 - Itkonen, H. M., Minner, S., Guldvik, I. J., Sandmann, M. J., Tsourlakis, M. C., Berge, V., Svindland, A., Schlomm, T., and Mills, I. G. (2013) O-GlcNAc transferase integrates metabolic pathways to regulate the stability of c-MYC in human prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 5277–5287
 - Ruan, H. B., Han, X., Li, M. D., Singh, J. P., Qian, K., Azarhoush, S., Zhao, L., Bennett, A. M., Samuel, V. T., Wu, J., Yates, J. R., 3rd, and Yang, X. (2012) O-GlcNAc transferase/host cell factor C1 complex regulates gluconeogenesis by modulating PGC-1 α stability. *Cell Metab.* **16**, 226–237
 - Gong, C. X., Liu, F., and Iqbal, K. (2012) O-GlcNAc cycling modulates neurodegeneration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 17319–17320
 - Dong, D. L., and Hart, G. W. (1994) Purification and characterization of an O-GlcNAc selective *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from rat spleen cytosol. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 19321–19330
 - Heckel, D., Comtesse, N., Brass, N., Blin, N., Zang, K. D., and Meese, E. (1998) Novel immunogenic antigen homologous to hyaluronidase in meningioma. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **7**, 1859–1872
 - Gao, Y., Wells, L., Comer, F. L., Parker, G. J., and Hart, G. W. (2001) Dynamic O-glycosylation of nuclear and cytosolic proteins: cloning and characterization of a neutral, cytosolic β -N-acetylglucosaminidase from human brain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 9838–9845
 - Penton, E., Poenaru, L., and Dreyfus, J. C. (1975) Hexosaminidase C in Tay-Sachs and Sandhoff disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **391**, 162–169
 - Minami, R., Nakamura, F., Oyanagi, K., and Nakao, T. (1981) Hexosaminidase C in brain affected by Tay-Sachs disease. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* **133**, 175–185
 - Swallow, D. M., Evans, L., Saha, N., and Harris, H. (1976) Characterization and tissue distribution of *N*-acetyl hexosaminidase C: suggestive evidence for a separate hexosaminidase locus. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* **40**, 55–66
 - Mahuran, D. J. (1999) Biochemical consequences of mutations causing the GM2 gangliosidosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1455**, 105–138
 - Cantarel, B. L., Coutinho, P. M., Rancurel, C., Bernard, T., Lombard, V., and Henrissat, B. (2009) The Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes Database (CAZY): an expert resource for glycogenomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**, D233–D238
 - Comtesse, N., Maldener, E., and Meese, E. (2001) Identification of a nuclear variant of MGEA5, a cytoplasmic hyaluronidase and a β -N-acetylglucosaminidase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **283**, 634–640
 - Sekine, O., Love, D. C., Rubenstein, D. S., and Hanover, J. A. (2010) Blocking O-linked GlcNAc cycling in *Drosophila* insulin-producing cells perturbs glucose-insulin homeostasis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 38684–38691
 - Forsythe, M. E., Love, D. C., Lazarus, B. D., Kim, E. J., Prinz, W. A., Ashwell, G., Krause, M. W., and Hanover, J. A. (2006) *Caenorhabditis elegans* ortholog of a diabetes susceptibility locus: *oga-1* (O-GlcNAcase) knockout impacts O-GlcNAc cycling, metabolism, and dauer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 11952–11957
 - Macauley, M. S., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2009) Enzymatic characterization and

- inhibition of the nuclear variant of human O-GlcNAcase. *Carbohydr. Res.* **344**, 1079–1084
27. Toleman, C., Paterson, A. J., Whisenhunt, T. R., and Kudlow, J. E. (2004) Characterization of the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) domain of a bifunctional protein with activable O-GlcNAcase and HAT activities. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 53665–53673
 28. Keembiyehetty, C. N., Krzeslak, A., Love, D. C., and Hanover, J. A. (2011) A lipid-droplet-targeted O-GlcNAcase isoform is a key regulator of the proteasome. *J. Cell Sci.* **124**, 2851–2860
 29. Wells, L., Gao, Y., Mahoney, J. A., Vosseller, K., Chen, C., Rosen, A., and Hart, G. W. (2002) Dynamic O-glycosylation of nuclear and cytosolic proteins: further characterization of the nucleocytoplasmic β -N-acetylglucosaminidase, O-GlcNAcase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 1755–1761
 30. Schultz, J., and Pils, B. (2002) Prediction of structure and functional residues for O-GlcNAcase, a divergent homologue of acetyltransferases. *FEBS Lett.* **529**, 179–182
 31. Toleman, C. A., Paterson, A. J., and Kudlow, J. E. (2006) The histone acetyltransferase NCOAT contains a zinc finger-like motif involved in substrate recognition. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 3918–3925
 32. Butkinaree, C., Cheung, W. D., Park, S., Park, K., Barber, M., and Hart, G. W. (2008) Characterization of β -N-acetylglucosaminidase cleavage by caspase-3 during apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 23557–23566
 33. Rao, F. V., Schüttelkopf, A. W., Dorfmüller, H. C., Ferenbach, A. T., Navratilova, I., and van Aalten, D. M. (2013) Structure of a bacterial putative acetyltransferase defines the fold of the human O-GlcNAcase C-terminal domain. *Open Biol.* **3**, 130021
 34. He, Y., Roth, C., Turkenburg, J. P., and Davies, G. J. (2014) Three-dimensional structure of a *Streptomyces sviveus* GNAT acetyltransferase with similarity to the C-terminal domain of the human GH84 O-GlcNAcase. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **70**, 186–195
 35. Nagel, A. K., and Ball, L. E. (2014) O-GlcNAc transferase and O-GlcNAcase: achieving target substrate specificity. *Amino Acids* **46**, 2305–2316
 36. Rao, F. V., Dorfmüller, H. C., Villa, F., Allwood, M., Eggleston, I. M., and van Aalten, D. M. (2006) Structural insights into the mechanism and inhibition of eukaryotic O-GlcNAc hydrolysis. *EMBO J.* **25**, 1569–1578
 37. Dennis, R. J., Taylor, E. J., Macauley, M. S., Stubbs, K. A., Turkenburg, J. P., Hart, S. J., Black, G. N., Vocadlo, D. J., and Davies, G. J. (2006) Structure and mechanism of a bacterial β -glucosaminidase having O-GlcNAcase activity. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **13**, 365–371
 38. Olszewski, N. E., West, C. M., Sassi, S. O., and Hartweck, L. M. (2010) O-GlcNAc protein modification in plants: evolution and function. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1800**, 49–56
 39. Macauley, M. S., Whitworth, G. E., Debowski, A. W., Chin, D., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2005) O-GlcNAcase uses substrate-assisted catalysis: kinetic analysis and development of highly selective mechanism-inspired inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 25313–25322
 40. Tews, I., Perrakis, A., Oppenheim, A., Dauter, Z., Wilson, K. S., and Vorgias, C. E. (1996) Bacterial chitinase structure provides insight into catalytic mechanism and the basis of Tay-Sachs disease. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **3**, 638–648
 41. Cetinba, N., Macauley, M. S., Stubbs, K. A., Drapala, R., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2006) Identification of Asp174 and Asp175 as the key catalytic residues of human O-GlcNAcase by functional analysis of site-directed mutants. *Biochemistry* **45**, 3835–3844
 42. He, Y., Macauley, M. S., Stubbs, K. A., Vocadlo, D. J., and Davies, G. J. (2010) Visualizing the reaction coordinate of an O-GlcNAc hydrolase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 1807–1809
 43. Schimpl, M., Schüttelkopf, A. W., Borodkin, V. S., and van Aalten, D. M. (2010) Human OGA binds substrates in a conserved peptide recognition groove. *Biochem. J.* **432**, 1–7
 44. Shen, D. L., Gloster, T. M., Yuzwa, S. A., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2012) Insights into O-linked N-acetylglucosamine ([0–9]O-GlcNAc) processing and dynamics through kinetic analysis of O-GlcNAc transferase and O-GlcNAcase activity on protein substrates. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 15395–15408
 45. Schimpl, M., Borodkin, V. S., Gray, L. J., and van Aalten, D. M. (2012) Synergy of peptide and sugar in O-GlcNAcase substrate recognition. *Chem. Biol.* **19**, 173–178
 46. Martin, J. C., Fadda, E., Ito, K., and Woods, R. J. (2014) Defining the structural origin of the substrate sequence independence of O-GlcNAcase using a combination of molecular docking and dynamics simulation. *Glycobiology* **24**, 85–96
 47. Copeland, R. J., Bullen, J. W., and Hart, G. W. (2008) Cross-talk between GlcNAcylation and phosphorylation: roles in insulin resistance and glucose toxicity. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **295**, E17–E28
 48. Whisenhunt, T. R., Yang, X., Bowe, D. B., Paterson, A. J., Van Tine, B. A., and Kudlow, J. E. (2006) Disrupting the enzyme complex regulating O-GlcNAcylation blocks signaling and development. *Glycobiology* **16**, 551–563
 49. Banerjee, P. S., Hart, G. W., and Cho, J. W. (2013) Chemical approaches to study O-GlcNAcylation. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **42**, 4345–4357
 50. Cecioni, S., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2013) Tools for probing and perturbing O-GlcNAc in cells and *in vivo*. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **17**, 719–728
 51. Ostrowski, A., and van Aalten, D. M. (2013) Chemical tools to probe cellular O-GlcNAc signalling. *Biochem. J.* **456**, 1–12
 52. Knapp, S., Abdo, M., Ajayi, K., Huhn, R. A., Emge, T. J., Kim, E. J., and Hanover, J. A. (2007) Tautomeric modification of GlcNAc-thiazoline. *Org. Lett.* **9**, 2321–2324
 53. Whitworth, G. E., Macauley, M. S., Stubbs, K. A., Dennis, R. J., Taylor, E. J., Davies, G. J., Greig, I. R., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2007) Analysis of PUGNAC and NAG-thiazoline as transition state analogues for human O-GlcNAcase: mechanistic and structural insights into inhibitor selectivity and transition state poise. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 635–644
 54. Yuzwa, S. A., Macauley, M. S., Heinonen, J. E., Shan, X., Dennis, R. J., He, Y., Whitworth, G. E., Stubbs, K. A., McEachern, E. J., Davies, G. J., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2008) A potent mechanism-inspired O-GlcNAcase inhibitor that blocks phosphorylation of tau *in vivo*. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **4**, 483–490
 55. Laczky, B., Marsh, S. A., Brocks, C. A., Wittmann, I., and Chatham, J. C. (2010) Inhibition of O-GlcNAcase in perfused rat hearts by NAG-thiazolines at the time of reperfusion is cardioprotective in an O-GlcNAc-dependent manner. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **299**, H1715–H1727
 56. Hilgers, R. H., Xing, D., Gong, K., Chen, Y. F., Chatham, J. C., and Oparil, S. (2012) Acute O-GlcNAcylation prevents inflammation-induced vascular dysfunction. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **303**, H513–H522
 57. Lefebvre, T., Ferreira, S., Dupont-Wallois, L., Bussi ere, T., Dupire, M. J., Delacourte, A., Michalski, J. C., and Caillet-Boudin, M. L. (2003) Evidence of a balance between phosphorylation and O-GlcNAc glycosylation of Tau proteins—a role in nuclear localization. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1619**, 167–176
 58. Liu, F., Iqbal, K., Grundke-Iqbal, I., Hart, G. W., and Gong, C. X. (2004) O-GlcNAcylation regulates phosphorylation of tau: a mechanism involved in Alzheimer’s disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 10804–10809
 59. Yu, Y., Zhang, L., Li, X., Run, X., Liang, Z., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Lee, M. H., Grundke-Iqbal, I., Iqbal, K., Vocadlo, D. J., Liu, F., and Gong, C. X. (2012) Differential effects of an O-GlcNAcase inhibitor on tau phosphorylation. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e35277
 60. Yuzwa, S. A., Shan, X., Macauley, M. S., Clark, T., Skorobogatko, Y., Vosseller, K., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2012) Increasing O-GlcNAc slows neurodegeneration and stabilizes tau against aggregation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **8**, 393–399
 61. Graham, D. L., Gray, A. J., Joyce, J. A., Yu, D., O’Moore, J., Carlson, G. A., Shearman, M. S., Dellovade, T. L., and Hering, H. (2014) Increased O-GlcNAcylation reduces pathological tau without affecting its normal phosphorylation in a mouse model of tauopathy. *Neuropharmacology* **79**, 307–313
 62. Dorfmüller, H. C., Borodkin, V. S., Schimpl, M., and van Aalten, D. M. (2009) GlcNAcstatins are nanomolar inhibitors of human O-GlcNAcase inducing cellular hyper-O-GlcNAcylation. *Biochem. J.* **420**, 221–227
 63. Macauley, M. S., Chan, J., Zandberg, W. F., He, Y., Whitworth, G. E., Stubbs, K. A., Yuzwa, S. A., Bennet, A. J., Varki, A., Davies, G. J., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2012) Metabolism of vertebrate amino sugars with N-glycolyl groups: intracellular β -O-linked N-glycolylglucosamine

- (GlcNGc), UDP-GlcNGc, and the biochemical and structural rationale for the substrate tolerance of β -O-linked β -N-acetylglucosaminidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 28882–28897
64. Stryke, D., Kawamoto, M., Huang, C. C., Johns, S. J., King, L. A., Harper, C. A., Meng, E. C., Lee, R. E., Yee, A., L'Italien, L., Chuang, P. T., Young, S. G., Skarnes, W. C., Babbitt, P. C., and Ferrin, T. E. (2003) BayGenomics: a resource of insertional mutations in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 278–281
 65. Dorfmüller, H. C., Borodkin, V. S., Schimpl, M., Zheng, X., Kime, R., Read, K. D., and van Aalten, D. M. (2010) Cell-penetrant, nanomolar O-GlcNAcase inhibitors selective against lysosomal hexosaminidases. *Chem. Biol.* **17**, 1250–1255
 66. Pathak, S., Borodkin, V. S., Albarbarawi, O., Campbell, D. G., Ibrahim, A., and van Aalten, D. M. (2012) O-GlcNAcylation of TAB1 modulates TAK1-mediated cytokine release. *EMBO J.* **31**, 1394–1404
 67. Speakman, C. M., Domke, T. C., Wongpaiboonwattana, W., Sanders, K., Mudaliar, M., van Aalten, D. M., Barton, G. J., and Stavridis, M. P. (2014) Elevated O-GlcNAc levels activate epigenetically repressed genes and delay mouse ES cell differentiation without affecting naive to primed cell transition. *Stem Cells* **32**, 2605–2615
 68. Hu, Y., Belke, D., Suarez, J., Swanson, E., Clark, R., Hoshijima, M., and Dillmann, W. H. (2005) Adenovirus-mediated overexpression of O-GlcNAcase improves contractile function in the diabetic heart. *Circ. Res.* **96**, 1006–1013
 69. Robinson, K. A., Ball, L. E., and Buse, M. G. (2007) Reduction of O-GlcNAc protein modification does not prevent insulin resistance in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **292**, E884–E890
 70. Macauley, M. S., Shan, X., Yuzwa, S. A., Gloster, T. M., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2010) Elevation of global O-GlcNAc in rodents using a selective O-GlcNAcase inhibitor does not cause insulin resistance or perturb glucose homeostasis. *Chem. Biol.* **17**, 949–958
 71. Macauley, M. S., He, Y., Gloster, T. M., Stubbs, K. A., Davies, G. J., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2010) Inhibition of O-GlcNAcase using a potent and cell-permeable inhibitor does not induce insulin resistance in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Chem. Biol.* **17**, 937–948
 72. Slawson, C., Zachara, N. E., Vosseller, K., Cheung, W. D., Lane, M. D., and Hart, G. W. (2005) Perturbations in O-linked β -N-acetylglucosamine protein modification cause severe defects in mitotic progression and cytokinesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 32944–32956
 73. Francisco, H., Kollins, K., Varghis, N., Vocadlo, D., Vosseller, K., and Gallo, G. (2009) O-GlcNAc post-translational modifications regulate the entry of neurons into an axon branching program. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **69**, 162–173
 74. Hayakawa, K., Hirose, M., Tabei, Y., Arai, D., Tanaka, S., Murakami, N., Yagi, S., and Shiota, K. (2013) Epigenetic switching by the metabolism-sensing factors in the generation of orexin neurons from mouse embryonic stem cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 17099–17110
 75. Libina, N., Berman, J. R., and Kenyon, C. (2003) Tissue-specific activities of *C. elegans* DAF-16 in the regulation of lifespan. *Cell* **115**, 489–502
 76. Rahman, M. M., Stuchlick, O., El-Karim, E. G., Stuart, R., Kipreos, E. T., and Wells, L. (2010) Intracellular protein glycosylation modulates insulin mediated lifespan in *C. elegans*. *Aging* **2**, 678–690
 77. Wang, P., Lazarus, B. D., Forsythe, M. E., Love, D. C., Krause, M. W., and Hanover, J. A. (2012) O-GlcNAc cycling mutants modulate proteotoxicity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* models of human neurodegenerative diseases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 17669–17674
 78. Wang, P., and Hanover, J. A. (2013) Nutrient-driven O-GlcNAc cycling influences autophagic flux and neurodegenerative proteotoxicity. *Autophagy* **9**, 604–606
 79. Radermacher, P. T., Myachina, F., Bosshardt, F., Pandey, R., Mariappa, D., Müller, H. A., and Lehner, C. F. (2014) O-GlcNAc reports ambient temperature and confers heat resistance on ectotherm development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 5592–5597
 80. Webster, D. M., Teo, C. F., Sun, Y., Wloga, D., Gay, S., Klonowski, K. D., Wells, L., and Dougan, S. T. (2009) O-GlcNAc modifications regulate cell survival and epiboly during zebrafish development. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **9**, 28
 81. Lepage, S. E., and Bruce, A. E. (2010) Zebrafish epiboly: mechanics and mechanisms. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **54**, 1213–1228
 82. Yang, Y. R., Song, M., Lee, H., Jeon, Y., Choi, E. J., Jang, H. J., Moon, H. Y., Byun, H. Y., Kim, E. K., Kim, D. H., Lee, M. N., Koh, A., Ghim, J., Choi, J. H., Lee-Kwon, W., Kim, K. T., Ryu, S. H., and Suh, P. G. (2012) O-GlcNAcase is essential for embryonic development and maintenance of genomic stability. *Aging Cell* **11**, 439–448
 83. Zhu, Y., Shan, X., Yuzwa, S. A., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2014) The emerging link between O-GlcNAc and Alzheimer disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 34472–34481